1	Approved For Release 2008/03/31 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000300490017-3	25X1
٠.		29X1
		3
	US ASSISTANCE TO STRATEGICALLY SITUATED COUNTRIES	
	Introduction	
	Introduction	
s	US military and economic assistance to countries in strategic regions serves several purposes: to maintain their friendship, to strengthen local defenses against external threats, to deny them reasons for turning to hostile alternative sources of aid, and to help their governments remain strong enough to maintain control over internal political, economic, or social forces. In most cases, US assistance serves some of these purposes better than others.	25X1
	US versus Soviet Aid	
	The US and the USSR seldom compete directly by providing assistance simultaneously to the same country, but they frequently support opposite sides in regional conflicts or attempt to persuade nations to switch donors. Major exceptions are North Yemen, where both provide military assistance, and Egypt, where the USSR still gives some technical and economic aid. Both superpowers also gave aid to Iran before the fall of the Shah. Iran and Iraq now have military supply relations with both the West and the	·
	Soviets.	25 X 1
	The major Soviet asset in giving aid is Moscow's ability to work rapidly, with a minimum of red tape, for longterm objectives. Moscow's major disadvantages are the comparative inferiority of Soviet military follow-on support and its limited ability to provide economic assistance. Moreover, Soviet aid is usually given only to central governments and subversive groups. In contrast, the US has a wider variety of material and human resources available and can assist a broader range of public, semipublic, and private sector recipients in target countries.	25X1
	This paper analyzes the threats faced by key strategically situated countries, briefly comments on the usefulness of US aid to countries facing conventional threats, and suggests types of assistance to deal with internal threats from subversion, insurgency, and instability. For the purposes of this study, key strategic regions are those which the US or the USSR (or both)	25X1
	SECRET	25 X 1

Approved For Release 2008/03/31 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000300490017-3

Approved For Release 2008/03/31 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000300490017-3	
	25 X 1
view as crucial to their national interests because nations within these regions possess valuable and scarce minerals such as oil or control essential access routes. In some cases these	.
countries could provide military facilities which the US or USSR believe they need.	25X1
berreve they need.	20/(1
Threats to Strategically Placed Countries	
Strategic Access through Gibraltar, the Dardanelles, and the Suez	
<u>Canal</u>	
Within the Mediterranean basin, <u>Turkey</u> and <u>Spain</u> —present and future NATO allies—are both threatened primarily by political instability. Turkey's viability as a NATO member continues to be tested by serious societal problems. The military regime in Ankara has worked to revitalize a bankrupt economy—largely with OECD consortium aid—and to clamp down on rampant terrorism, but underlying problems of high unemployment, rapid urbanization, and overpopulation persist. In Spain, regional and class divisions continue to generate violent forms of dissent—particularly Basque terrorism—despite impressive economic growth and efforts to mold a common Spanish culture. The very newness of Spanish democratic institutions makes it doubly difficult for any government to overcome profound societal cleavages.	25 X 1
Impending entry into NATO has significantly increased Spanish fear of involvement in a nuclear war. Ankara, in contrast, is more concerned with a conventional military threat from the Warsaw Pact. Despite a standing army of nearly a half-million men, an obsolescent weapons inventory makes Turkey ill-equipped to defend the Bosporus, the Dardanelles, or the 300 mile border with the USSR and Bulgaria. It might even have trouble coping with Greece, its Arab neighbors, or domestic insurgents.	25 X 1
Pro-western Morocco confronts several potentially destabilizing problems: the six year-old Saharan war is a costly drain on an already burdened economy; a militarily more powerful neighbor, Algeria, supports the Polisario front; and domestically, Islamic fundamentalists could threaten the King by joining forces with the firmly entrenched socialist opposition, especially if economic problems worsen.	25 X 1
Tunisia must cope with ongoing efforts by Libya to subvert the moderate Bourguiba regime. High unemployment, limited opportunities for educated youth, stagnating agricultural production, and the subsidies and wage increases demanded by a well-organized labor movement threaten internal stability. The ability to fund social programs will be further cut as Tunisia	0577
becomes an oil importer.	25 X 1

The most serious potential threats to the <u>Egyptian</u> government are internal: income disparities, housing shortages, deteriorating public services, unemployment, and rapid population growth. Young people—half the population—are particularly hard hit and susceptible to the blandishments of both Islamic and leftist extremists. Egyptian leaders are also concerned about the military threats posed by Libya and Israel.

25X1

Security of Other Major Shipping Lanes

The Straits of Malacca

Security pressures on the governments in <u>Thailand</u> and <u>Malaysia</u> are generated by conventional threats from the Vietnamese presence in Kampuchea. Malaysia's nagging Communist insurgency presents little domestic threat to public order, but serious concern is generated by the volatile racial mix in Malaysia—44 percent Malay, 36 percent Chinese, 10 percent Indian, and 10 percent indigenous tribes. An important goal of the government is maintenance of racial peace. <u>Indonesia</u> contends with endemic domestic violence due to racial hostility and growing economic dissatisfaction of the masses. The <u>Philippines</u> face two serious domestic insurgencies: a decade long Muslim rebellion in the south and a broader based rebellion led by the armed wing of the Communist Party of the Philippines.

25X1

The Panama Canal

Panama's economic problems are generally less severe than those elsewhere in the Caribbean Basin, but the country has begun to feel the effects of political turmoil and economic decline afflicting the rest of Central America. Other societal problems include: disparities between extremely poor rural areas and highly commercialized Panama City, an unemployment rate of 15 percent or higher, and a steadily increasing current account deficit. Panama faces relatively little short-term vulnerability from Cuba. Castro, however, has co-opted or bribed key Panamanian officials to aid Cuban-backed subversion in countries such as Colombia. Moreover, a number of foreign radicals reside in Panama who could be used for subversive purposes against local targets, if Havana were to decide on such a course.

25X1

Strategic Access to Persian Gulf Oil

The Arabian Peninsula is rife with regional rivalries.

North Yemen is trying to cope with an insurgency sponsored by Soviet-backed South Yemen, which also poses a conventional threat. Oman's foreign policy reflects its fears of subversion and military aggression by South Yemen or the Soviet Union.

3

Approved For Release 2008/03/31 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000300490017-3	
	25 X 1
Meanwhile, Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, and the United Arab Emirates all fear subversion and the possibility of conventional attack by Iran. Kuwait has similar concerns regarding Iran and also has lingering fears of Iraq from past border disputes.	25X1
Iran and Iraq both face internal subversive threats from several factions, in addition to the immediate conventional challenges of border war. Iran is also wary of the Soviet Union and its increased military presence in Afghanistan.	25 X 1
Pakistan is burdened with severe political, social, economic and security problems. It faces major security threats from its traditional enemy, India, and from the Soviets in neighboring Afghanistan. Islamabad fears that India and the Soviets will act together to put military pressure on Pakistan or that one or the other will exploit domestic tensions resulting from minority separatism and domestic political opposition to the military regime of President Zia ul-Haq.	25X1
Strategic Access to Minerals and Bases in Africa	
Somalia, an important US supporter on the Gulf of Aden, is challenged by an Ethiopian and Libyan-sponsored guerrilla threat as well as by mounting tribal unrest. A coup staged by disaffected military officers who see pro-western President Siad as a major source of the country's economic, military and tribal problems is also possible.	25X1
Despite some improvement in relations between Somalia and Kenya, Nairobi is suspicious that Mogadishu still has irredentist designs on Kenya's Somali-inhabited northeastern province. In particular, Nairobi fears that US military aid could strengthen Somalia sufficiently to threaten Kenya's control of that province.	25X1
Sudan faces serious economic problems. Limited foreign exchange reserves, a massive foreign debt, and a poor credit rating are pressing concerns, as is the possibility of public riots over commodity shortages. Libya is attempting to exploit widespread Sudanese discontent through cross border terrorist and sabotage operations.	

A siege mentality prevails in economically troubled Zambia where President Kaunda sees threats from several domestic forces. Zimbabwean leader Mugabe fears both hostile moves by renegade whites and tribally-related armed clashes between rival ex-guerrilla forces. Both Kaunda and Mugabe believe that their domestic opponents are abetted by South Africa.

Zairian President Mobutu's regime is unpopular and could face major challenges from disgruntled civilians, military

4

25X1

personnel, or regional dissidents, especially if the steadily deteriorating economy worsens significantly in the next several months. Mobutu's ill-equipped and poorly-disciplined armed forces probably could not suppress an outbreak of unrest in copper-rich Shaba or elsewhere before it would paralyze the country and menace his regime.

25X1

The Value of Foreign Assistance

Globally, the US has provided about \$94 billion of military and economic assistance to 120 countries in the 1977-81 period, including \$41.5 billion of aid to the strategically situated countries listed in this paper. In that same period, the USSR provided approximately \$57 billion of military and economic assistance to 57 developing countries, all of which it considered to be strategically located. A large portion of the US aid to strategically situated countries has been oriented toward bolstering their defenses against conventional military threats, an appropriate objective considering how many of them fear aggression from neighbors. Conventional military assistance also helps to keep military forces content and politically reliable. This aid, however, has not been particularly effective in enabling governments to cope with insurgencies, subversion, and threats to government stability deriving from economic deterioration, ethnic unrest, and domestic political violence.

25X1

Possible Nontraditional US Assistance

Most of the countries mentioned in this paper would welcome and probably benefit from additional US conventional military assistance, given their pressing needs to increase their perceived security against regional competitors, assure the loyalty of their militaries, and demonstrate at least implied security ties with the US. In most cases, the aid can be given directly; in a few, especially in southern Africa where a close public relationship with the US is a handicap, the US could usefully seek a low profile or an indirect (possibly through Western allies) means of extending military assistance.

25X1

Neither conventional military nor economic aid is of much value in combatting the major internal threats these countries face, however. In most instances, coping with these threats requires a combination of societal reforms and moves to strengthen the government's ability to rule. Nontraditional forms of US assistance could be especially useful in working toward the latter objective.

25**X**1

5

SECRET

25X1

Most central governments in the developing world are, for example, ill-equipped to deal effectively with modern forms of domestic political violence or with foreign-backed subversion. This suggests that major benefits could be obtained through a concerted effort to improve their police, intelligence, and protective services in a number of ways:

25X1

 Providing more US-based counterinsurgency training for government troops,

25X1

-- Putting US diplomatic pressure on neighboring countries to curtail the use of their territory for terrorist or insurgent safehaven (Basque ETA use of southern France).

25X1

Additional amounts and kinds of US assistance could strengthen the ability of central governments to bring more of their national territory under more effective control through improved administrative services, especially in multiethnic or tribal societies. This might include:

- -- Expansion of leader grants and other training opportunities for local administrators and civilian/military leaders.
- -- Assistance in developing health and other human services in more remote areas to attract support for the central government.
- -- Training in public relations and opinion sampling techniques to help governments accurately ascertain public attitudes and to inculcate favorable ones.

25X1

One of the most effective forms of economic assistance to strategically located countries is that which would help them develop new exports or make their existing exports more competitive in the world market. In addition to various kinds of new investment, increasing the transfer of technical knowledge (production techniques, foreign marketing strategies) from the US private sector would be perceived as a significant commitment to

6

25X1

these countries' well-being and security. This could take many forms, including:

- Bilateral training programs offered by US industries to counterparts in these countries (the phosphate extraction and marketing industry in Morocco).
- -- Underwriting surveys to help them decide where their competitive advantage would lie in developing new exports.
- -- A willingness by the US to open further our markets to their products and to pressure other developed countries to do likewise.

assistance listed above are not exceptionally expensive, but they all have other kinds of costs. Enabling governments to improve their social control capabilities, for example, would inevitably create accusations of US association with human rights violations.

exports have the potential to cut into US exports and even into domestic sales by American industry. Nonetheless, the potential overall gain for US security interests in these strategically situated countries may make these costs acceptable.

25X1

25**X**1

25**X**1

25X1 25X1

25X1

.

25X1

US: Military Aid to Strategically Situated LDCs*

Million US \$

Total Military Aid Grants							
<u>Recipient</u>	1977-80	1981	1977-81	1977-80	1981	1977-81	
Grand Total	52,586	10,641	63,227	977	305	1,282	
Total to Strategically	00 110						
Situated LDCs	29,110	2,350	31,460	<u>234</u>	<u>32</u>	<u> 266</u>	
Spain Turkey Morocco	1,117 546 383	197 51	1,314 546 434	80 10	4	. 84 11	
Tunisia	108	22	130	Negl	Negl	Negl	
Egypt Thailand	3,013 932	555 172	3,568 1,104	36	Negl	36	
Malaysia Indonesia Philippines	215 220 238	67 52 32	282 272 270	32 76	Neg1 25	32 101	
Panama	35	1	36	Neg 1	Negl	Negl	
Oman North Yemen	6 177	51 18	57 195	• •	• •	• •	
Saudi Arabia Bahrain	17,299 8	870 1	18,169 9	• •	• •	• •	
UAE	32	23	55	• •	• •	• •	
Iran Kuwait	4,098 26	47	4,098 73	• •	• •	• •	
Iraq	Negl	• •	Negl	• •	• •	• •	
Pakistan Somalia	254	73 41	327 41	• •	• •	• •	
Kenya	57	25	82	••	• •	• •	
Sudan Zambia	297 1	45 Negl	342 1	• •	2	2	
Zimbabwe	Negl	Neg 1	Negl	••	• •	• •	
Zaire	48	/	55	• •	• •	• •	

^{*}Strategic countries being considered in this paper that have not received aid from the US are not included in this table.

US: Economic Aid to Strategically Situated LDCs*

Million US \$

Recipient	Total 1977-80	Economi 1981	c Aid 1977-81	1977-80	Grants 1981	1977-81
Grand Total	26,255	4,735	30,990	17,595	695	18,290
Total to Strategically Situated LDCs	8,132	2,361	10,493	2,512	<u>189</u>	2,701
North Africa Morocco Tunisia	307 160 147	115 65 50	422 225 197	145 85 60	24 19 5	169 104 65
Sub-Saharan Africa Kenya Somalia Sudan Zaire Zambia Zimbabwe	845 152 115 163 223 169 23	303 50 57 109 30 33 24	1,148 202 172 272 253 202 47	343 80 81 102 40 17 23	53 10 30 5 8	396 90 111 107 48 17 23
Europe Spain Turkey	697 392 305	276 75 201	973 467 506	107 31 76	. <u>1</u> 1	108 31 77
East Asia Indonesia Malaysia Philippines Thailand	1,528 842 7 455 224	402 225 2 120 55	1,930 1,067 9 575 279	351 120 10 164 57	51 16 2 29 4	402 136 12 193 61
Latin America Panama	<u>66</u> 66	<u>10</u> 10	<u>76</u> 76	1 <u>5</u> 15	2/2	17 17
Middle East Egypt Iran North Yemen Oman Saudi Arabia	4,299 4,208 18 57 6 10	1,176 1,145 21 Negl	5,475 5,353 18 78 6 20	1,528 1,465 56	29 29 ••	1,557 1,494 56

US: Economic Aid to Strategically Situated LDCs*

Million US \$

	Total	Economi	c Aid		Grants	
Recipient	1977-80	1981ª	1977-81	1977-80	1981	1977-81
South Asia Pakistan	390 390	7 <u>9</u> 79	469	<u>23</u> 23	<u>29</u> 29	<u>52</u> 52

^{*}Strategic countries being considered in this paper that have not received aid from the US are not included in this table.

^aData for 1981 are estimated.

^bMinimum estimate. Includes only grant food aid and Peace Corps.

USSR: Military Aid to Strategically Situated LDCs*

					Milli	on US \$
	Total 1977-81	<u>1977</u>	<u>1978</u>	1979	1980	1981
Grand Total	42,825	9,554	2,425	8,924	15,848	6,074
Total to Strategically Situated LDCs	17 016	0.000	1 000			
Situated FDC2	<u>17,016</u>	2,808	1,387	5,711	4,583	2,527
Afghanistan Egypt	2,632 33	19	206 13	508 7	1,669 13	230
Ethiopia India	3,676 3,394	1,100 649	750 95	243	126 2,107	1,700
Iran Iraq	698 2,561	474 154	41	2,297	• •	300 224
Kampuchea Kuwait	25 51	51	41	10	11 15	58 NA
Morocco	NA NA	31	NA	• •	• •	• •
North Yemen Pakistan	783 20	1 1	13	767	2	• •
South Yemen	874	100	19 250	514	io	• •
Spain Sudan	28 4	4	• •	28	• •	• •
Vietnam Zambia	2,005 232	225 30	• •	1,145	620	15
	232	30	• •	192	10	• •

^{*}Strategic countries being considered in this paper that have not received aid from the USSR are not included in this table.

USSR: Economic Aid to Strategically Situated LDCs*

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Millio	on US \$
	Total 1977-81	<u>1977</u>	1978	1979	1980	1981
Grand Total	14,447	774	3,722	5,122	3,306	1,523
Total to Strategically Situated LDCs	12,154	710	3,696	3,904	2,619	1,225
Afghanistan Ethiopia India Kampuchea Morocco North Yemen Pakistan South Yemen Turkey Vietnam Zambia	858 372 1,140 495 2,000 93 225 90 2,220 4,655 6	25 340 NA 	1 2 150 2,000 38 225 90 620 570	435 94 130 1,600 1,645	395 189 800 130 	27 62 85 55 990

^{*}Strategic countries being considered in this paper that have not received aid from the USSR are not included in this table.